

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,067	12/09/200)3	Louis B. Rosenberg	IMMR067/04US	2941
7590 09/07/2005				EXAMINER	
Cooley Godw	ard LLP	WU, XIAO MIN			
One Freedom S	•				
Reston Town (Center	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11951 Freedon	n Drive		2674		
Reston, VA 2	20190-5656			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/730,067	ROSENBERG ET	AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		XIAO M. WU	2674			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, i. rriod will apply and will expire SIX latute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co			
Status						
2a)□	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-33 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in a rrection is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National).	Stage		
``	\ <u></u>					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 22204, 10 13 54 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 3/29/2004 and 10/13/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 2-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 5,959,613. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim same subject matter as indicated below.

Independent claim 2 of 10/730,067 is similar to independent claim 1 of the US Patent No. 5,959,613.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,067

Art Unit: 2674

Independent claim 13 of 10/730,067 is similar to Independent claim 21 of US Patent No. 5,959,613.

Independent claim 24 of 10/730,067 is similar to independent claim 32 of US Patent No. 5,959,613.

Independent claim 32 of 10/730,067 is similar to independent claim 42 of US Patent No. 5,959,613.

4. Claim 2 of 10/730,067 and claim 1 of the US Patent No. 5,959,613 are representative claims among all of the claims and they are illustrated as follow:

Claim 1 of US Pat. No. 5,959,613	Claim 2 of instant application
A method for generating a force signal for creating a feel sensation upon a user through a force feedback device, comprising:	A method, comprising:
providing a source wave;	Sending a source wave;
providing a set of control parameters, said control parameters including at least one of a steady-state magnitude value, a frequency value, and a duration value for said source wave, said steady-state magnitude value representing a steady-state magnitude of said source wave, said frequency value representing a frequency of said source wave, and said duration value representing a duration of said feel sensation;	Sending a set of values associated with control parameters, said control parameters including at least one of a steady-state magnitude value, a frequency value, and a duration value associated with the source wave, the steady-state magnitude value associated with a steady-state magnitude of the source wave, the frequency value associated with a frequency of the source wave, the duration value associated with a duration of output of haptic feedback;
providing a set of impulse parameters, including: an impulse value, said impulse value specifying an impulse force level of said source wave applied to said user, said impulse force level being different than said steady-state magnitude;	Sending impulse parameter, including: An impulse value, the impulse value associated with an impulse force level of the source wave, the value of the impulse force level being different from the value of the steady-state magnitude;
a settle time, said settle time representing a time required for a magnitude of said force signal to change from said impulse force level to said steady-state magnitude; and	A settle time, the settle time associated with a time for a magnitude of the force signal to change from the impulse force level to the value of the stead-state magnitude; and

Application/Control Number: 10/730,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

forming, using a processor, said force signal	Sending a force signal, the force signal based
from said source wave, said	on the source wave, the set of control
set of control parameters, and said set of	parameters, and the set of impulse parameters,
impulse parameters.	the haptic feedback being based on the force
	signal.

From the comparison above, it is noted that claim 2 of the instant application is using the words "sending", "haptic feedback" instead of the words "providing", "force feedback", in claim 1, respectively, of the US Patent No. 5,959,613. These wording change are obvious and they are not patentable distinct from each other.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571-272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/730,067

Art Unit: 2674

X.W.

September 4, 2005

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674 Page 5